UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,720	07/29/2004	David L. Morris	001-400	4719
²⁹⁵⁶⁹ FURR LAW FI	7590 02/27/200 RM	EXAMINER		
2622 DEBOLT		BOLES, DEREK		
UTICA, OH 43	UoU		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/710	,720	MORRIS, DAVID L.			
Office Action Summary			ner	Art Unit			
			S. Boles	3749			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet witi	h the correspondence ad	ldress		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum s te to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. tatutory period will apply an of will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNIC, event, however, may a rep d will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this curve (NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal matte	·	e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the at a) Of the above claim(s) 1-20 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 21-25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2004	e withdrawn from co	n requirement.	ed to by the Examiner			
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application _·			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim(s) 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shirkhan (6,783,668) in view of Bagshaw et al. (4,541,412). Shirkhan discloses all of the limitations of the claim(s) except for the valve closing on a delay to prevent a water-hammer effect. Bagshaw et al. discloses the presence of a valve closing on a delay to prevent a water-hammer effect. See col. 4, line 63 thru col. 5, line 10. Hence, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the system of Shirkhan to include the valve closing on a delay to prevent a water-hammer effect of Bagshaw et al. for the purpose of component protection. Regarding claim 22, see 34.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/07/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/710,720 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The provided references are representative of the state of the art that is applicable to the applicant's invention. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Boles at (571) 272-4872 or supervisory patent examiner Steve McAllister at (571) 272-6785.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.S.B.

/DEREK S. BOLES/ PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3700

2/27/2009